

From: Lawrence Greenspon (lawrence@greensponbrown.ca)
To: janescharf@rogers.com;
Date: Wed, July 14, 2010 8:33:56 AM
Cc:
Subject: Re: Release of Roger Clement

Dear Ms Scharf,

Thank you for your interest in Roger's case. I didn't place a publication ban on Roger's case, the Justice of the Peace did as he was required to do . It is Mandatory! I am well aware of Rogers background which will come out at a time that is best for Roger. We are working to arrange a Bail Review before the end of this month consistent with his instructions. LG

----- Original Message -----

From: [JANE SCHARF](#)
To: lawrence@greensponbrown.ca
Sent: Wednesday, July 14, 2010 12:17 AM
Subject: Release of Roger Clement

Dear Mr. Greenspon:

I am writing to you today in regards to your client Roger Clement who is currently incarcerated at OCDC. My concerns are that you are not proceeding in his best interest in that you have placed a publication ban on the case that only serves to leave the public with the view that Roger is a maniacal terrorist rather than the mind mannered, generous person that he is with no prior record whatsoever. And it also protects you from the public knowing about the shortcomings in the defence.

Due process allows for Roger to have reasonable bail. This isn't happening and as far as I am concerned your actions thus far are helping this railroading.

I have located several activists in Ottawa willing to help me form an alternative defence team for Roger and we are preparing to start holding public demonstrations if Roger is not released on bail at his bail review if he has not had a proper defense.

These are our concerns:

- 1) Publication ban is not in Rogers interest because the public did not get to learn that he has an excellent reputation in the community for being gentle, kind and generous and he has no prior arrest record.
- 2) That he did not get sufficient defence at the previous bail hearings
- 3) That his defence team tried to isolate him and to suppress his friends interest in supporting him.
- 4) That Rogers name is not registered with the court
- 5) He is in poor physical health

So it looks like a conspiracy to deny him due process and isolate and frighten him into

copping a guilty plea.

Jane Scharf

No virus found in this incoming message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 8.5.441 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/3003 - Release Date: 07/13/10 18:36:00